



5/8/88

Transmittal Note

AMENDMENT NO. 10

TO THE

SUPPLEMENT TO ANNEX 9 (EIGHTH EDITION)

FACILITATION

To incorporate Amendment No. 10 to the Supplement:

1. Replace the following pages by the corresponding new pages dated 5/8/88:

iii to xxiv
Bolivia
Chile
Cyprus
Denmark
Germany, Federal Republic of
Luxembourg
Netherlands, Kingdom of the.

2. Insert the following new pages dated 5/8/88:

Portugal
Trinidad and Tobago.

3. Record this amendment on page II of the Supplement.
-

1. Contracting States which have notified ICAO of differences

The Contracting States listed below have notified ICAO of differences which exist between their national regulations and practices and the International Standards and Recommended Practices of Annex 9, Eighth Edition, or have commented on their implementation as indicated herein:

State	Date of Notification	Pages in Supplement	Date of publication
Algeria	23/10/80	1	2/1/81
Angola	1/4/85	1	10/11/86
Argentina	16/9/80	1-2	1/6/83
Australia	2/7/86	1-3	10/11/86
Austria	20/8/82	1	1/12/82
Bahamas	13/11/81	1	1/4/82
Bangladesh	11/8/81	1-2	1/4/82
Barbados	22/7/82	1	1/12/82
Belgium	16/12/83	1-2	1/11/84
Benin	20/6/86	1	10/11/86
Bolivia	22/5/85	1-2	5/8/88
Botswana	15/10/82	1	1/6/83
		2	1/2/84
Brazil	23/7/87	1-2	14/10/87
Brunei Darussalam	10/11/86	1-2	10/11/86
Burma	16/7/85	1-2	10/11/86
Cameroon	23/6/82	1	1/12/82
Canada	18/5/82	1-2	1/12/82
		3-4	2/1/81
Chile	13/1/88	1	5/8/88
China	2/1/87	1-2	14/10/87
Colombia	21/8/87	1-2	14/10/87
Costa Rica	21/7/80	1	2/1/81
Cyprus	12/11/87	1	5/8/88
Czechoslovakia	1/8/85	1-2	10/11/86
Denmark	4/3/88	1	5/8/88
Djibouti	22/4/82	1	1/12/82
Ecuador	5/6/81	1	1/9/81
Egypt	28/7/82	1-2	1/12/82
El Salvador	4/6/81	1	1/9/81
Equatorial Guinea	17/6/85	1	10/11/86
Ethiopia	26/6/86	1	10/11/86
Fiji	1/9/80	1	2/1/81
Finland	8/7/86	1	10/11/86
France	23/12/86	1-4	14/10/87
Dependent Territories		4-5	14/10/87
Gambia	9/7/84	1	1/11/84
Germany, Federal Republic of	25/11/87	1-2	5/8/88
Greece	1/9/86	1-2	10/11/86
Guatemala	25/5/82	1	1/12/82
Haiti	3/11/81	1	1/4/82
Honduras	5/5/82	1-2	1/12/82
Hungary	6/9/82	1	1/12/82
India	20/4/87	1-2	14/10/87
Indonesia	23/5/87	1-2	14/10/87
Ireland	6/12/85	1	10/11/86
Italy	13/1/81	1-2	1/6/83

State	Date of Notification	Pages in Supplement	Date of publication
Jamaica	10/8/81	1	1/4/82
Japan	15/10/80	1-3	2/1/81
Kenya	11/9/80	1	2/1/81
Kiribati	17/11/82	1	1/6/83
Lesotho	24/1/86	1	10/11/86
Luxembourg	12/1/88	1	5/8/88
Madagascar	29/1/82	1-3	1/4/82
Malawi	23/6/83	1	1/2/84
Malaysia	12/9/80	1-2	2/1/81
Mauritius	23/7/84	1	1/11/84
Mexico	12/8/81	1-2	1/4/82
Netherlands, Kingdom of the	2/2/88	1	5/8/88
New Zealand	10/6/81	1-2	1/9/81
		3-4	1/4/82
Niger	26/7/84	1-2	1/11/84
Nigeria	12/7/82	1	1/7/85
Norway	20/7/82	1	1/12/82
Oman	20/4/81	1-2	1/9/81
Pakistan	26/8/86	1-2	10/11/86
Panama	31/8/81	1-3	1/4/82
Papua New Guinea	18/6/82	1	1/7/85
		2	1/12/82
		3	2/1/81
Philippines	24/9/82	1-2	1/6/83
Poland	24/7/81	1	1/6/83
Portugal	5/8/88	1	5/8/88
Republic of Korea	30/6/81	1	1/4/82
Romania	9/9/82	1-2	1/6/83
Rwanda	9/6/81	1	1/6/83
Senegal	1/12/80	1	2/1/81
Seychelles	30/8/82	1-2	1/6/83
Sierra Leone	9/6/82	1	1/12/82
Singapore	2/7/82	1-2	1/12/82
South Africa	17/8/83	1	1/2/84
Spain	30/9/80	1	2/1/81
Swaziland	1/4/85	1	1/7/85
Sweden	22/6/82	1	1/12/82
Switzerland	1/9/82	1	1/12/82
Thailand	31/8/87	1-2	14/10/87
Trinidad and Tobago	6/11/87	1	5/8/88
Tunisia	11/6/83	1	1/2/84
Uganda	23/7/85	1	10/11/86
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	27/3/85	1	1/7/85
United Kingdom	19/5/87	1	10/11/86
		2	14/10/87
		3	10/11/86
Dependent Territories		3-5	10/11/86
United Republic of Tanzania	19/8/82	1-3	1/12/82
United States	14/7/86	1-4	10/11/86
Vanuatu	25/5/87	1	14/10/87
Venezuela	17/10/85	1-2	10/11/86
Yugoslavia	17/2/81	1-2	1/9/81
Zambia	20/8/80	1-2	2/1/81
Zimbabwe	30/10/84	1	1/7/85

2. Contracting States which have notified ICAO that no differences exist

State	Date of notification	Date of publication
Cape Verde	12/6/81	1/4/82

3. Contracting States from which no information has been received

Afghanistan	Guyana	Paraguay
Antigua and Barbuda	Iceland	Peru
Bahrain	Iran, Islamic Republic of	Qatar
Bulgaria	Iraq	Saint Lucia
Burkina Faso	Israel	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
Burundi	Jordan	San Marino
Central African Republic	Kuwait	Sao Tome and Principe
Chad	Lao People's Democratic Republic	Saudi Arabia
Comoros	Lebanon	Solomon Islands
Congo	Liberia	Somalia
Cook Islands	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	Sri Lanka
Cote d'Ivoire	Maldives	Sudan
Cuba	Mali	Suriname
Democratic Kampuchea	Malta	Syrian Arab Republic
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	Marshall Islands	Togo
Democratic Yemen	Mauritania	Tonga
Dominican Republic	Monaco	Turkey
Gabon	Morocco	United Arab Emirates
Ghana	Mozambique	Uruguay
Grenada	Nauru	Viet Nam
Guinea	Nepal	Yemen
Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Zaire

4. Summary of differences

Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by	Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by
2.1	Brunei Darussalam		Seychelles
	Djibouti		Singapore
	Sweden		South Africa
2.2	NO DIFFERENCES		Swaziland
2.3	Cook Islands (New Zealand)		Thailand
	Jamaica		Trinidad and Tobago
	New Zealand		Uganda
	United States		USSR
2.4	Argentina		United Rep. of Tanzania
	Australia		United States
	Barbados		Zambia
	Bolivia		Zimbabwe
	Botswana	2.4.1	Bahamas
	Brazil		Brunei Darussalam
	Brunei Darussalam		Egypt
	Burma		Greece
	Chile		Italy
	China		Japan
	Cook Islands (New Zealand)		Malaysia
	Costa Rica		Panama
	Cyprus		United Rep. of Tanzania
	Egypt		United States
	El Salvador		Yugoslavia
	Fiji		Zambia
	France	2.4.2	Botswana
	French Polynesia (France)		India
	Gambia		Indonesia
	Greece	2.4.3	Argentina
	Guatemala		Australia
	Haiti		China
	Honduras		Cook Islands (New Zealand)
	Hong Kong (United Kingdom)		India
	India	2.4.4	Australia
	Indonesia		Botswana
	Italy		Chile
	Jamaica		Cyprus
	Japan		India
	Kenya		Japan
	Kiribati		Kenya
	Lesotho		Malawi
	Madagascar		Montserrat (United Kingdom)
	Malawi		Oman
	Malaysia		South Africa
	Mauritius		Thailand
	Mexico		United States
	Montserrat (United Kingdom)	2.5	Argentina
	New Caledonia (France)		Bangladesh
	Niger		Bermuda (United Kingdom)
	Nigeria		Botswana
	Oman		Brunei Darussalam
	Panama		China
	Philippines		Cook Islands (New Zealand)
	Portugal		Costa Rica
	Rwanda		Cyprus

Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by	Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by
2.6	Egypt		Nigeria
	El Salvador		Oman
	Fiji		Pakistan
	Greece		Panama
	Guatemala		Philippines
	Honduras		Romania
	Hong Kong (United Kingdom)		Rwanda
	India		Seychelles
	Indonesia		Sierra Leone
	Jamaica		Singapore
	Japan		Swaziland
	Madagascar		Thailand
	Malaysia		Trinidad and Tobago
	Mexico		Uganda
	New Caledonia (France)		United Rep. of Tanzania
	New Zealand		Vanuatu
	Oman		Zambia
	Singapore		Zimbabwe
	South Africa	2.6.1*	Botswana
	Thailand	2.7*	Algeria
	USSR		Angola
	United States		Argentina
	Vanuatu		Austria
	Angola		Belgium
	Bangladesh		Botswana
	Botswana		Burma
	Brunei Darussalam		Cameroon
	Burma		Chile
	Cameroon		Costa Rica
	China		Cyprus
	Cook Islands (New Zealand)		Czechoslovakia
	Costa Rica		Ecuador
	Czechoslovakia		El Salvador
	Djibouti		Equatorial Guinea
	Ecuador		France
	Egypt		French Polynesia (France)
	El Salvador		Greece
	Equatorial Guinea		Guatemala
	Ethiopia		Honduras
	Gambia		Hungary
	Greece		India
	Haiti		Japan
	Honduras		Kenya
	India		Luxembourg
	Indonesia		Malawi
	Italy		Netherlands, Kingdom of the
	Japan		New Caledonia (France)
	Kenya		Niger
	Kiribati		Nigeria
	Malawi		Norway
	Malaysia		Oman
	Mauritius		Philippines
	Mexico		Portugal
	Montserrat (United Kingdom)		Romania
	Niger		Rwanda

* Recommended Practice

Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by	Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by
2.8	Seychelles South Africa Swaziland USSR United Rep. of Tanzania United States Yugoslavia Argentina Bahamas Barbados Belgium Benin Cameroon Canada Denmark Djibouti Fiji Germany, Fed. Rep. of Honduras Hong Kong (United Kingdom) Hungary Indonesia Mauritius Nigeria Pakistan Philippines Romania Rwanda Senegal Spain Tunisia Uganda United Rep. of Tanzania Vanuatu	2.9 2.10 2.11	Kenya Luxembourg Malawi Mexico Montserrat (United Kingdom) Netherlands, Kingdom of the New Caledonia (France) Oman Romania Rwanda Seychelles Spain Trinidad and Tobago USSR United Kingdom United Rep. of Tanzania United States Zambia Nigeria Australia Burma Fiji Italy Madagascar Mexico Oman Papua New Guinea United Kingdom United Rep. of Tanzania United States Venezuela Burma Nigeria Thailand
2.8.1*	Algeria Bahamas Bangladesh Belgium Cameroon Canada Costa Rica Cyprus Egypt El Salvador Equatorial Guinea Finland Germany, Fed. Rep. of Greece Guatemala Honduras Hong Kong (United Kingdom) Italy Jamaica Japan	2.12	Bermuda (United Kingdom) Brazil Burma China Egypt Gambia Guatemala Haiti Honduras Hong Kong (United Kingdom) Indonesia Italy Japan Kenya Mexico Oman Panama Republic of Korea Rwanda Singapore Swaziland

* Recommended Practice

Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by	Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by
2.13	Thailand Trinidad and Tobago Zambia Fiji Hungary Portugal Yugoslavia		Kiribati New Zealand Pakistan Papua New Guinea Seychelles Swaziland Vanuatu
2.14	Burma Hungary Indonesia	2.24*	Australia Bangladesh Brunei Darussalam Kenya
2.15	Brazil Botswana Burma China Egypt Guatemala Haiti Honduras Indonesia Japan Kenya Mexico Oman Republic of Korea Rwanda Singapore Swaziland Thailand Trinidad and Tobago Zambia	2.25	Malaysia Papua New Guinea Portugal Seychelles United States China Pakistan Papua New Guinea Seychelles United States Seychelles
2.16	Fiji Hungary Portugal	2.26*	Pakistan
2.17	Spain	2.27*	Seychelles
2.18*	Switzerland Australia Cook Islands (New Zealand) Hungary Jamaica Mexico New Zealand Singapore Zambia	2.28*	Seychelles
2.19	Egypt Indonesia	2.29*	Seychelles
2.20	Bolivia	2.30	Seychelles
2.21	United States	2.31	Seychelles
2.22	Argentina Botswana Greece South Africa	2.32	Kiribati Mexico Seychelles United Kingdom
2.23	Australia Cook Islands (New Zealand) Fiji France	2.33	Romania Seychelles
		2.34	Barbados Benin Bolivia Burma Cameroon China Colombia Cook Islands (New Zealand) Czechoslovakia Egypt El Salvador Guatemala Honduras India Indonesia Japan Kenya Malawi Mauritius Niger Pakistan

* Recommended Practice

Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by	Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by
2.35	Panama Romania Seychelles Thailand USSR Zambia Angola Barbados Benin Bolivia Brazil Burma Cameroon Chile China Colombia Cook Islands (New Zealand) Czechoslovakia Egypt Fiji Germany, Fed. Rep. of Hungary India Italy Madagascar Mauritius Niger Oman Pakistan Romania Seychelles South Africa Thailand USSR United States Vanuatu Zambia Cook Islands (New Zealand)	2.39	Republic of Korea Romania Rwanda Seychelles Thailand USSR Zambia Bermuda (United Kingdom) Brunei Darussalam Colombia Cook Island (New Zealand) Fiji Honduras Kenya Malawi Mexico New Zealand Niger Oman Romania Rwanda Seychelles Trinidad and Tobago USSR
2.36	Egypt Indonesia Romania Seychelles USSR	2.40*	Algeria Australia Austria Cameroon Canada Cook Islands (New Zealand) Czechoslovakia El Salvador France Honduras Hong Kong (United Kingdom) Italy Madagascar Malawi Niger Papua New Guinea Republic of Korea Romania Seychelles USSR
2.37*	Seychelles		United Rep. of Tanzania
2.38	Barbados Cameroon China Cook Islands (New Zealand) Ethiopia Japan Madagascar Malawi Mauritius Niger Panama	2.41*	United States Australia Brazil Brunei Darussalam Burma Colombia Cook Islands (New Zealand) Czechoslovakia Egypt Greece

* Recommended Practice

Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by	Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by
	Jamaica		Panama
	Japan		Venezuela
	Malaysia	3.7*	Angola
	Mexico		Argentina
	Seychelles		Barbados
	Switzerland		Burma
	USSR		Chile
	United States		Colombia
2.42	Zambia		Equatorial Guinea
	Seychelles		Greece
3.1	Brunei Darussalam		Kiribati
3.2	NO DIFFERENCES		Mauritius
3.3	India		Niger
	Poland		Nigeria
	United Rep. of Tanzania		Pakistan
	United States		Papua New Guinea
3.4	Djibouti		Poland
	Ecuador		Romania
	Indonesia		Singapore
	Italy		Swaziland
	Japan		Tunisia
	Madagascar		USSR
3.5	Venezuela		United States
3.5.1*	Haiti	3.8	Zimbabwe
	Madagascar		Algeria
	Panama		Angola
3.5.2*	Bolivia		Austria
	Cameroon		Benin
	Chile		Bermuda (United Kingdom)
	Costa Rica		China
	Ecuador		Cook Islands (New Zealand)
	Equatorial Guinea		Djibouti
	Haiti		Equatorial Guinea
	Honduras		Ethiopia
	Japan		France
	Madagascar		French Polynesia (France)
	Niger		Germany, Fed. Rep. of
3.5.3*	Bolivia		Hong Kong (United Kingdom)
	Ecuador		Hungary
	Haiti		India
	Japan		Niger
3.5.4*	Hong Kong (United Kingdom)		Oman
3.5.5*	Brunei Darussalam		Pakistan
	Colombia		Panama
	Denmark		Papua New Guinea
	Indonesia		Portugal
	Madagascar		Rwanda
	Malaysia		Singapore
3.6	Argentina		Switzerland
	Bangladesh		Trinidad and Tobago
	Guatemala	3.8.1	Zambia
	Honduras		Algeria
	Madagascar		Bahamas
	Mexico		Benin
			Burma
			Colombia

* Recommended Practice

Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by	Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by
3.8.2	Costa Rica	3.8.3	Norway
	Denmark		Oman
	El Salvador		Panama
	French Polynesia (France)		Poland
	Honduras		Romania
	Hong Kong (United Kingdom)		Sweden
	Italy		Switzerland
	Lesotho		Thailand
	Madagascar		Trinidad and Tobago
	Malaysia		Uganda
	Mexico		Venezuela
	Panama		Yugoslavia
	Romania		Zambia
	Venezuela	3.8.4*	Argentina
	Algeria		Brunei Darussalam
	Angola		Burma
	Austria		Malaysia
	Bangladesh		Romania
	Bolivia		Algeria
	Brazil		Argentina
	Brunei Darussalam		Australia
	Burma		Bangladesh
	Cameroon		Bolivia
	Canada		Botswana
	Chile		Burma
	China		Cameroon
	Colombia		Chile
	Cook Islands (New Zealand)		Czechoslovakia
	Costa Rica		Denmark
	Cyprus		Equatorial Guinea
	Czechoslovakia		France
	Denmark		Greece
	Ecuador		Hong Kong (United Kingdom)
	Egypt		India
	Ethiopia		Italy
	Fiji		Jamaica
	Finland		Japan
	Germany, Fed. Rep. of		Madagascar
	Greece		New Caledonia (France)
	Guatemala		New Zealand
	Honduras		Norway
	Hong Kong (United Kingdom)		Oman
	Hungary		Panama
	India		Papua New Guinea
	Indonesia		Romania
	Italy		Sierra Leone
	Jamaica		Singapore
	Japan		South Africa
	Kiribati		Sweden
	Madagascar		Switzerland
	Malaysia		Trinidad and Tobago
	Mexico		United Kingdom
	Netherlands, Kingdom of the		Yugoslavia
	Niger		Zambia

* Recommended Practice

Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by	Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by
3.8.5*	Denmark Germany, Fed. Rep. of Jamaica Japan Trinidad and Tobago United Kingdom United States Zambia		Botswana Brazil Brunei Darussalam Burma China Cook Islands (New Zealand) Cyprus Denmark Djibouti Ethiopia Fiji Finland French Polynesia (France) Germany, Fed. Rep. of Greece Honduras Hong Kong (United Kingdom) India Indonesia Ireland Jamaica Japan Lesotho Madagascar Malaysia Mexico Montserrat (United Kingdom) New Zealand Norway Oman Pakistan Panama Papua New Guinea Philippines Portugal Romania Seychelles Sierra Leone Singapore South Africa Sweden Trinidad and Tobago Uganda United Kingdom United Rep. of Tanzania United States Vanuatu Zambia
3.8.6*	NO DIFFERENCES		Argentina Cameroon Ireland United Kingdom Papua New Guinea
3.8.7*	Czechoslovakia Poland Romania Yugoslavia		Angola 3.12*
3.9*	Angola Argentina Australia Bangladesh Bolivia Botswana Brazil Chile Colombia Costa Rica Denmark Finland France French Polynesia (France) Greece Guatemala Haiti Honduras Hong Kong (United Kingdom) Malaysia Montserrat (United Kingdom) New Caledonia (France) Niger Norway Panama Papua New Guinea Portugal Rwanda Seychelles Singapore South Africa Sweden Trinidad and Tobago Tunisia United Kingdom United Rep. of Tanzania Vanuatu Zambia	3.10.1*	
3.10	Australia Bahamas Bangladesh Barbados	3.11 3.12*	

* Recommended Practice

Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by	Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by
3.13	China		Guatemala
3.13.1	India		Honduras
3.14	Papua New Guinea		Madagascar
3.15	NO DIFFERENCES		Niger
	Greece		Panama
	NO DIFFERENCES		Rwanda
	Botswana		Trinidad and Tobago
	Brunei Darussalam	3.17.1*	Angola
	Egypt		Argentina
	Greece		Bahamas
	Hungary		Belgium
	Madagascar		Bolivia
	Malaysia		Burma
	Panama		Canada
3.16	South Africa		Cook Islands (New Zealand)
	Australia		Costa Rica
	Bahamas		Czechoslovakia
	Botswana		El Salvador
	Burma		Guatemala
	China		Honduras
	Colombia		Hong Kong (United Kingdom)
	Cook Islands (New Zealand)		Jamaica
	Ecuador		Japan
	Egypt		Madagascar
	Equatorial Guinea		Malawi
	Ethiopia		Mauritius
	Fiji		Mexico
	France		New Zealand
	French Polynesia (France)		Papua New Guinea
	Guatemala		Poland
	Haiti		Romania
	India		Singapore
	Indonesia		Trinidad and Tobago
	New Caledonia (France)		Tunisia
	New Zealand		United Rep. of Tanzania
	Papua New Guinea		United States
	Rwanda		Yugoslavia
	Seychelles		Zambia
	South Africa	3.18*	Argentina
	Thailand		Australia
	USSR		France
	United Kingdom		French Polynesia (France)
	United Rep. of Tanzania		Malaysia
	United States		New Caledonia (France)
3.17	Zambia		Norway
	Angola		Vanuatu
	Bolivia	3.19	Canada
	Burma		Haiti
	China		Mauritius
	Colombia		Singapore
	Costa Rica		Tunisia
	El Salvador	3.20	Angola
	Equatorial Guinea		Argentina
	Ethiopia		France
			French Polynesia (France)

* Recommended Practice

Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by	Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by
	Haiti		Italy
	Hungary		Netherlands, Kingdom of the
	Malawi		Norway
	New Caledonia (France)		Poland
	Nigeria		USSR
	Senegal		United States
	Singapore		Yugoslavia
	Tunisia	3.24.1*	Algeria
	Vanuatu		Benin
3.21*	Yugoslavia		China
3.22	NO DIFFERENCES		Colombia
	Algeria		Czechoslovakia
	Australia		Germany, Fed. Rep. of
	Indonesia		India
	Oman		Italy
	Pakistan		Netherlands, Kingdom of the
	Yugoslavia		Switzerland
	Zambia		USSR
3.23	Brunei Darussalam		United States
	Burma		Yugoslavia
	China	3.24.2	Australia
	Colombia		Brunei Darussalam
	Czechoslovakia		Czechoslovakia
	Fiji		Denmark
	Greece		Egypt
	Hungary		Ethiopia
	Indonesia		Fiji
	Italy		Germany, Fed. Rep. of
	Malaysia		Greece
	Netherlands, Kingdom of the		Hong Kong (United Kingdom)
	Norway		Italy
	Oman		Japan
	Pakistan		Malaysia
	Poland		Netherlands, Kingdom of the
	Romania		Poland
	Singapore		Sweden
	USSR		Switzerland
	United States		Thailand
	Yugoslavia		USSR
3.23.1*	Algeria		United Kingdom
	Colombia		United States
	Czechoslovakia		Yugoslavia
	Germany, Fed. Rep. of		Zambia
	India	3.24.3*	Algeria
	Italy		Colombia
	Netherlands, Kingdom of the		Czechoslovakia
	Switzerland		Denmark
	USSR		Germany, Fed. Rep. of
	United States		India
3.24	Belgium		Italy
	Benin		Hong Kong (United Kingdom)
	Burma		Japan
	China		Netherlands, Kingdom of the
	Czechoslovakia		Sweden
	Fiji		Switzerland

* Recommended Practice

Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by	Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by
	USSR		Romania
	United Kingdom		Rwanda
	United States		Sierra Leone
	Zambia		United Rep. of Tanzania
3.25*	Brunei Darussalam		Yugoslavia
	Malaysia	3.31	Bolivia
3.26	Belgium	3.32	Belgium
	Burma		Bermuda (United Kingdom)
3.27	Algeria		Greece
	Angola		India
	Benin		Italy
	Bolivia	3.33*	NO DIFFERENCES
	Cameroon	3.34	NO DIFFERENCES
	Costa Rica	3.34.1	Thailand
	Czechoslovakia		United Kingdom
	Equatorial Guinea	3.34.2*	United Kingdom
	Ethiopia	3.35	Canada
	Guatemala		Madagascar
	Haiti		United Kingdom
	Honduras		United States
	Indonesia	3.35.1	Australia
	Niger		Canada
	Romania		Japan
	USSR		New Zealand
3.28*	Angola		United States
	Bolivia	3.35.2	Canada
	Brazil	3.36	Australia
	Burma		Bolivia
	Hong Kong (United Kingdom)		Botswana
	Madagascar		Brazil
	Mexico		Canada
	Seychelles		Denmark
	Venezuela		Egypt
3.29*	Angola		Germany, Fed. Rep. of
	Belgium		Honduras
	Czechoslovakia		Hong Kong (United Kingdom)
	Egypt		Italy
	Equatorial Guinea		Mexico
	Hungary		Oman
	India		Panama
	Madagascar		South Africa
	Poland		Thailand
	Romania		United Kingdom
	Sierra Leone		United States
	United Rep. of Tanzania		Venezuela
	Yugoslavia	3.37	Argentina
3.30	Algeria		Belgium
	Czechoslovakia		Switzerland
	Egypt		
	Equatorial Guinea	4.1	NO DIFFERENCES
	Ethiopia	4.2	NO DIFFERENCES
	Kenya	4.3	NO DIFFERENCES
	New Caledonia (France)	4.4	Chile
	Niger		Fiji
	Poland		Indonesia

* Recommended Practice

Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by	Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by
4.5*	Kenya Pakistan United Rep. of Tanzania Bangladesh Fiji Portugal United Rep. of Tanzania	4.10	Indonesia Mexico New Caledonia (France) Spain United Rep. of Tanzania Yugoslavia
4.5.1*	Belgium	4.11	Madagascar Algeria
4.6*	Belgium Fiji Pakistan		Mexico Panama United Rep. of Tanzania
4.7	Belgium France French Polynesia (France) Indonesia Lesotho New Caledonia (France)	4.12	Angola Argentina Belgium Bolivia Colombia Ecuador Ethiopia Greece Hungary India Japan Madagascar Mexico Nigeria Oman Romania Spain Thailand United Rep. of Tanzania Yugoslavia
4.8*	Belgium Brunei Darussalam Burma Canada Colombia Czechoslovakia Egypt Finland Haiti Hungary India Ireland Jamaica Malaysia Mexico Niger Norway Pakistan Seychelles Singapore Sweden Switzerland United Rep. of Tanzania Yugoslavia	4.13	Bangladesh Canada Greece United Rep. of Tanzania Bolivia Niger Uganda Vanuatu Algeria Bangladesh Madagascar Pakistan Uganda Argentina Barbados Bolivia Czechoslovakia Panama Philippines Romania Swaziland United Rep. of Tanzania Argentina Austria
4.8.1*	Austria Seychelles Tunisia United Rep. of Tanzania	4.14	
4.9	Algeria Bangladesh Burma Canada Colombia Ecuador Egypt Fiji France French Polynesia (France)	4.15	
		4.16*	
		4.16.1*	

* Recommended Practice

Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by	Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by
4.17	Chile Tunisia Angola Australia Bangladesh Canada Cook Islands (New Zealand) Ecuador Greece Magadascar New Zealand Papua New Guinea Rwanda Swaziland United Rep. of Tanzania	4.22*	Bolivia Burma Colombia Mexico Panama United Rep. of Tanzania Bahamas Bolivia Botswana Brazil Brunei Darussalam Burma Canada Czechoslovakia Egypt El Salvador Finland French Polynesia (France) Greece Hungary India Italy Malaysia Norway Pakistan Panama Papua New Guinea Romania Sierra Leone South Africa Sweden Switzerland United Rep. of Tanzania
4.18*	Bangladesh Belgium Bolivia Cook Islands (New Zealand) Czechoslovakia Denmark France French Polynesia (France) Germany, Fed. Rep. of Greece Ireland Italy Luxembourg Madagascar Netherlands, Kingdom of the New Caledonia (France) New Zealand Norway Panama Sweden United Kingdom United Rep. of Tanzania	4.22.1*	Bahamas Bolivia Botswana Brunei Darussalam Burma Canada Chile Cyprus Czechoslovakia Egypt India Jamaica Madagascar Malaysia Sierra Leone South Africa Sweden Trinidad and Tobago United Rep. of Tanzania
4.19	Algeria Australia Bangladesh Colombia Fiji Madagascar Niger Pakistan Papua New Guinea United Rep. of Tanzania		Bahamas Benin Bolivia
4.20	El Salvador Equatorial Guinea Guatemala Haiti Panama United Rep. of Tanzania	4.23	
4.21	Algeria Bangladesh		

* Recommended Practice

Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by	Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by
	Botswana		Panama
	Burma	4.32*	New Zealand
	Egypt		Panama
	French Polynesia (France)		Venezuela
	Italy	4.33	NO DIFFERENCES
	Mexico	4.34	New Zealand
	New Caledonia (France)	4.35*	New Zealand
	Panama	4.36	Algeria
	Romania		Australia
	Senegal		Honduras
	South Africa		Hong Kong (United Kingdom)
	Sweden		New Caledonia (France)
	United Rep. of Tanzania		Papua New Guinea
4.24*	Bolivia	4.37	Belgium
	Burma	4.38	Burma
	Madagascar		New Zealand
4.25	Burma	4.39	NO DIFFERENCES
	China	4.40	Belgium
	Philippines		Botswana
4.26*	Bolivia		Burma
	Cook Islands (New Zealand)		Ireland
	Egypt		Netherlands, Kingdom of the
	Jamaica		New Zealand
	New Zealand		Papua New Guinea
	Panama		South Africa
4.27	Belgium		United Rep. of Tanzania
4.28	Angola		United States
	Bolivia	4.41	Australia
	Costa Rica		Botswana
	El Salvador		Burma
	Greece		Papua New Guinea
	Guatemala		South Africa
	Honduras		Uganda
	Hungary		United Rep. of Tanzania
	Niger	4.42*	Argentina
	Panama		Belgium
	Venezuela		Botswana
	Yugoslavia		Burma
4.29	Cook Islands (New Zealand)		Canada
	New Zealand		Cyprus
	Niger		Denmark
	Pakistan		France
	Uganda		Germany, Fed. Rep. of
	United Rep. of Tanzania		India
4.30	Burma		Ireland
	China		Italy
4.30.1*	Burma		Japan
	Colombia		Luxembourg
	Czechoslovakia		Netherlands, Kingdom of the
	Jamaica		New Zealand
	Spain		Norway
4.31*	Bahamas		Papua New Guinea
	Bangladesh		Romania
	Burma		South Africa
	New Zealand		Spain

* Recommended Practice

Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by	Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by
4.43	United Kingdom United States Yugoslavia Zambia Zimbabwe Burma Canada Japan Mexico Uganda United Rep. of Tanzania	4.46	Trinidad and Tobago United Rep. of Tanzania Australia Bangladesh Botswana Brunei Darussalam Cook Islands (New Zealand) Cyprus Djibouti Ecuador Egypt Ethiopia
4.44*	Zambia Argentina Bahamas Belgium Botswana Colombia Denmark France French Polynesia (France) Germany, Fed. Rep. of India Ireland Italy Japan Luxembourg Madagascar Mexico Netherlands, Kingdom of the New Zealand Nigeria Papua New Guinea Romania South Africa Spain United Kingdom United Rep. of Tanzania United States Yugoslavia Zambia Zimbabwe	4.46.1 4.47	Fiji Haiti Jamaica Japan Lesotho Madagascar Malaysia New Zealand Norway Papua New Guinea Seychelles South Africa Sweden Thailand United Rep. of Tanzania Venezuela Yugoslavia Zambia Argentina Yugoslavia Cook Islands (New Zealand) New Zealand Nigeria Papua New Guinea Romania United Rep. of Tanzania Colombia Uganda United Rep. of Tanzania United States Venezuela Colombia Cook Islands (New Zealand) India Japan United Rep. of Tanzania Venezuela United States Australia Finland Jamaica Norway Papua New Guinea United Rep. of Tanzania
4.45*	Australia Bahamas Canada Cyprus Egypt French Polynesia (France) Greece India Jamaica Japan Mexico Panama Papua New Guinea Spain	4.48 4.49 4.50 4.51*	

* Recommended Practice

Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by	Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by
4.52	NO DIFFERENCES		Poland
5.1	Czechoslovakia		Romania
	Germany, Fed. Rep. of		Singapore
	United States		Sweden
5.2	Botswana		Thailand
	China		USSR
	Djibouti		United Kingdom
	Germany, Fed. Rep. of		United States
	Hungary		Yugoslavia
	India	5.5	Zimbabwe
	Indonesia		Burma
	South Africa		Cook Islands (New Zealand)
	Thailand		Czechoslovakia
	United States	5.6	New Zealand
5.3	Czechoslovakia		Burma
	Madagascar		Cook Islands (New Zealand)
	United States		Czechoslovakia
5.4	Argentina		Djibouti
	Belgium	5.7	New Zealand
	Botswana	5.8*	Czechoslovakia
	Denmark		Botswana
	Djibouti		Canada
	France		Germany, Fed. Rep. of
	French Polynesia (France)		Haiti
	Germany, Fed. Rep. of		Japan
	Hungary		Papua New Guinea
	India		Rwanda
	Ireland		South Africa
	Italy		United Kingdom
	Luxembourg		United States
	Netherlands, Kingdom of the	5.9*	Zambia
	New Caledonia (France)		Botswana
	Seychelles		Germany, Fed. Rep. of
	South Africa		Haiti
	Thailand		Japan
	United Kingdom		Papua New Guinea
	United States		South Africa
5.4.1	Austria		United Kingdom
	Barbados		United States
	Burma	5.10	Zambia
	Canada	5.11*	New Zealand
	Chile		Angola
	China		Australia
	Costa Rica		Belgium
	Denmark		Burma
	El Salvador		Chile
	Finland		Czechoslovakia
	Germany, Fed. Rep. of		Greece
	Guatemala		Guatemala
	Hong Kong (United Kingdom)		Honduras
	Mexico		Hong Kong (United Kingdom)
	Norway		Hungary
	Oman		Montserrat (United Kingdom)
	Panama		Netherlands, Kingdom of the
			New Zealand

* Recommended Practice

Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by	Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by
5.12*	Pakistan	6.5*	Zambia
	Papua New Guinea		NO DIFFERENCES
	Poland		NO DIFFERENCES
	Seychelles	6.6*	Equatorial Guinea
	USSR		Pakistan
	United Rep. of Tanzania		Seychelles
	Yugoslavia	6.7*	NO DIFFERENCES
	Zambia		Equatorial Guinea
	Angola		NO DIFFERENCES
	Australia	6.8*	Venezuela
	Bolivia		NO DIFFERENCES
	Burma		Barbados
	Canada	6.9*	Niger
	Costa Rica		Romania
	Czechoslovakia		NO DIFFERENCES
	Greece	6.10*	NO DIFFERENCES
	Hong Kong (United Kingdom)		NO DIFFERENCES
	Hungary		Madagascar
	New Zealand	6.11*	NO DIFFERENCES
	Pakistan		NO DIFFERENCES
	Panama		NO DIFFERENCES
	Papua New Guinea	6.12*	NO DIFFERENCES
	Poland		NO DIFFERENCES
	Romania		Seychelles
	Rwanda	6.13*	Panama
	Seychelles		Barbados
	USSR		Romania
5.13	United Rep. of Tanzania	6.13.1*	Romania
	Yugoslavia		Romania
	Zambia		Barbados
	Czechoslovakia	6.23	Romania
	Hungary		NO DIFFERENCES
	Indonesia		Bolivia
	Mexico	6.25.1*	Niger
	Pakistan		Yugoslavia
	Papua New Guinea		NO DIFFERENCES
	Poland	6.26*	Australia
	Seychelles		Seychelles
	USSR		Bolivia
	United Rep. of Tanzania	6.28*	NO DIFFERENCES
	Zambia		NO DIFFERENCES
			Seychelles
6.1	NO DIFFERENCES	6.29*	Bolivia
6.2	Romania		Chile
6.3	NO DIFFERENCES		Hungary
6.3.1*	United States	6.30*	Romania
6.4*	Bolivia		Venezuela
	Hong Kong (United Kingdom)		NO DIFFERENCES
	Italy	6.31*	NO DIFFERENCES
	Kiribati		NO DIFFERENCES
	Pakistan		NO DIFFERENCES
	Poland	6.31.1*	Bolivia
	United Kingdom		Chile
	Venezuela		Hungary
	Yugoslavia		Romania
		6.31.2	Venezuela
			NO DIFFERENCES
			NO DIFFERENCES
		6.32*	NO DIFFERENCES
			NO DIFFERENCES
			NO DIFFERENCES
		6.33*	Bolivia
			Romania
			Zambia
		6.34*	Bolivia
			Romania
			Zambia
		6.35*	Bolivia
			Romania
			Romania

* Recommended Practice

Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by	Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by
6.36*	Bolivia		New Caledonia (France)
6.37*	Bolivia		Niger
6.38*	Bolivia		Panama
6.39*	Bolivia		Romania
6.40*	Bolivia		Singapore
	Chile		USSR
	Mauritius		United Kingdom
	Romania		United Rep. of Tanzania
6.41*	Bolivia		Yugoslavia
6.42*	Bolivia		Zambia
6.43*	Bolivia	6.58	NO DIFFERENCES
	New Zealand	6.59	NO DIFFERENCES
6.44*	Bolivia	6.60	NO DIFFERENCES
	Venezuela	6.61*	NO DIFFERENCES
6.45*	Bolivia	6.62	Canada
	Mauritius		Kiribati
6.46	Malawi		United Rep. of Tanzania
6.47*	Kiribati	6.63*	NO DIFFERENCES
6.48*	Venezuela	6.64*	Czechoslovakia
6.49*	NO DIFFERENCES		Egypt
6.50*	Australia		Romania
6.51*	Australia		
6.52*	French Polynesia (France)	7.1	China
	Kiribati	7.2	Thailand
	Panama	7.3	NO DIFFERENCES
	Seychelles	7.3.1	NO DIFFERENCES
6.53*	Austria	7.3.2	NO DIFFERENCES
	United Kingdom	7.3.3	Uganda
6.54	NO DIFFERENCES	7.4	NO DIFFERENCES
6.55	Argentina	7.4.1	NO DIFFERENCES
	Australia	7.4.2	NO DIFFERENCES
	Barbados	7.4.3	NO DIFFERENCES
	China	7.4.4	NO DIFFERENCES
	French Polynesia (France)		
	Haiti	8.1*	Canada
	Romania		Singapore
	Zimbabwe		United States
6.55.1	Australia		Zambia
	Barbados	8.2*	Yugoslavia
	China	8.3	Guatemala
	Romania	8.4	Canada
	Zimbabwe	8.5	NO DIFFERENCES
6.56	Argentina	8.6	NO DIFFERENCES
	New Zealand	8.7	Canada
	United States	8.8	Bangladesh
	Venezuela	8.9	NO DIFFERENCES
6.57*	Belgium	8.10	Australia
	Bolivia		India
	Colombia		Papua New Guinea
	Czechoslovakia	8.11*	Australia
	Egypt	8.12	NO DIFFERENCES
	France	8.13*	NO DIFFERENCES
	French Polynesia (France)	8.14	China
	Greece		France
	Jamaica		

* Recommended Practice

Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by	Standard or Recommended Practice	Difference notified by
8.15*	New Caledonia (France)		Hungary
	Singapore		Norway
	Angola		Poland
	Chile		Rwanda
	Djibouti		Sweden
	Germany, Fed. Rep. of		Switzerland

* Recommended Practice

5/8/88

BOLIVIA	
2.4	A General Declaration is required (simplified format).
2.20	The fees are payable at the Consulate which has jurisdiction over the last point of departure before entering the national territory.
2.34	Advance notification by the aeronautical authorities of the country of origin of the aircraft is required for issuance of the particular authorization.
2.35	Notification of the arrival of the aircraft must be at least 48 hours in advance.
3.5.2*	The initial period of validity of passports is one year.
3.5.3*	Passports may be renewed at the end of the first year of issue and in each case the period of renewed validity is one year.
3.8.2	Entry visas for temporary visitors are valid initially for 30 days and may be renewed twice (total 90 days).
3.8.4*	A visa for re-entry is required from resident aliens.
3.9*	A simple Embarkation/Disembarkation Card is required in original form only for the Immigration authorities.
3.17	All baggage is inspected.
3.17.1*	There is no dual channel system.
3.27, 3.28*	Visas are required except for holders of official passports. Bolivian nationals and foreign residents leaving the territory of Bolivia are required to obtain a permit from the Ministry of the Interior (Immigration), a tax clearance and an exit certificate.
3.31	Tax clearance certificates are required of temporary visitors who stay in Bolivia for gainful purposes.
3.36	Passengers arriving from infected areas must have certificates of vaccination, but there is no inspection. Statutory fines may be imposed on airlines that carry passengers without the required vaccination certificates. (These provisions are not enforced.)
4.12	Examination of goods and unaccompanied baggage is not required but such shipments are inspected by the trained dogs of the Narcotics Law enforcement authorities.
4.14	Goods and unaccompanied baggage which are being exported are only cleared in the local customs office. At present, the clearing of merchandise and unaccompanied baggage not exceeding U.S.\$300.00 in value is being done at the customs offices of La Paz and Santa Cruz Airports.

* Recommended Practice

BOLIVIA (cont.)	
4.16*, 4.18*	For duty-free imports, a Certificate of Value of the goods is required to be attached to the original purchase bills.
4.21	Import licences or permits are still required for certain articles.
4.22*	Goods of a value not exceeding U.S.\$300.00 only require the air waybill and the commercial invoice as clearance documents. The customs will make out an official import certificate for clearing the goods.
4.22.1*	Only goods of small value under U.S.\$300.00 are exempt from payment of import duties.
4.23	Goods of a value not exceeding U.S.\$300.00 only require the air waybill and the commercial invoice as clearance documents. The customs will make out an official import certificate for clearing the goods.
4.24*	Applicable in exceptional cases only.
4.26*	Veterinary and phytosanitary controls are only required in the absence of the relevant health documents.
4.28	All imported goods are required to be examined, but this can be done by sampling if the goods are identical.
5.12*	No free zones have been established. But at La Paz airport there is a free area for a duty-free shop solely for national products.
6.4*	When an airline wishes to provide its own services for ground handling operations or to have such operations performed entirely, or in part, by another airline, it must have the express approval of the airport administration (AASANA).
6.26*, 6.28*	Not applicable.
6.31.1*	There is not sufficient space available at the passenger terminal for storage of baggage.
6.34* to 6.45*	These Recommended Practices will be taken into account in the plans for new cargo terminals and/or extension of existing cargo terminals.
6.57*	Not justified at the present time.

* Recommended Practice

5/8/88

CHILE	
2.4	The presentation of a General Declaration containing a list of crew members is required.
2.4.4	An international police stamp is required for the purpose of outbound or inbound clearance.
2.7*	The presentation of a Cargo Manifest following the ICAO format is required.
2.35	The information contained in the flight plan alone is not accepted. The pilot-in-command, the owner of the aircraft or his legal agent must report their entry into the country to the Directorate General of Civil Aviation, at least 24 hours beforehand.
3.5.2*	This provision is complied with, but passports are only valid for two years.
3.7*	This Recommended Practice is complied with on a reciprocal basis.
3.8.2	Entrance visas are valid for 90 days from the date of issue.
3.8.4*	Resident aliens, with the exception of officials or diplomats and those who have permanent resident status, are required to have a re-entry visa granted by the administrative authorities, so that they do not lose their resident status. On their return, they should enter as holders of the permit that they held before.
3.9*	An Embarkation/Disembarkation Card as well as a Tourist Card are required.
4.4	Not yet applicable to Chile.
4.16.1*	The United Nations Layout Key is not used. Very similar forms are used depending on customs requirements.
4.22.1*	Trade samples are not subject to customs duties in Chile, provided that a certificate of non-use has been previously issued. Gifts are subject to full duties, but their clearance is expedited.
5.4.1	This Standard is complied with. The International Police Authorities stamp the tourist cards of transit passengers with a 24-hour permit. This period may be extended by the competent authorities.
5.11*	In Chile there are no free airports as such, but the purposes of such airports are served in specially designated areas.
6.31.1*	Such facilities are not available in Chile.
6.40*	There are no cargo terminals with storage facilities for special cargo.
8.15*	There is an Air Transport National Facilitation Commission in Chile which meets ICAO requirements. There are also Airport Committees governed by Basic and Operational Regulations established by the Directorate General of Civil Aviation.

* Recommended Practice

CYPRUS	
2.4	The presentation of General Declaration is required by statute. The ICAO standard form is used.
2.4.4	Customs in Cyprus use the General Declaration as a reporting and clearance document and as such it is required to be stamped and signed for both inbound and outbound traffic.
2.5	Crew surnames and initials are required.
2.7*	The presentation of Cargo Manifest is required.
2.8.1*	Information concerning the nature of goods is required in the Cargo Manifest.
3.8.2	Visas are normally granted for three months and may be renewed on application. Visas for any number of journeys in a stated period may be granted on a reciprocal basis.
3.10	The format of the Embarkation/Disembarkation Card differs from the format set forth in Appendix 3 to Annex 9.
4.22.1*	Unacceptable in principle, but certain articles are exempted.
4.42*, 4.45*	The duty-free importation of airline tickets, shipping documents and luggage labels by airlines is provided for under the Customs and Excise Duties Law No. 18 of 1978. Aircraft stores, spare parts, lubricants and fuel are exempted from the payment of duty under the provisions of bilateral air services agreements between the Republic of Cyprus and the governments of various countries whose national airlines use the international airports of the Republic of Cyprus. Certain items may be subject to import duty.
4.46	<p>As to the items covered in the note under this paragraph:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) acceptable only when the baggage is not shown on the aircraft Cargo Manifest; ii) acceptable only when the Customs Authorities are satisfied that these concessions were not granted to the passenger on arrival.

* Recommended Practice

DENMARK	
2.8	Whether or not the Cargo Manifest is used, European Community legislation requires the gross weight and nature of the goods to be declared. ⁽¹⁾
2.10	A written Customs Declaration which is a combination of the general declaration and a stores list is required. The declaration covers e.g. the stores remaining on board the aircraft.
3.5.5*	Separate passports are not required for children under fifteen years of age.
3.8.1	Normally applicants for entrance visas must make a personal appearance at a consulate.
3.8.2	Entry visas for temporary visitors are normally issued for one trip only, each stay being limited to three months.
3.8.4*	Resident aliens, who require a visa for entry into Denmark, shall before travelling abroad obtain a re-entry visa.
3.8.5*	Visas include the information given in the recommendation, but not in the order shown.
3.9*, 3.10	Upon entering the Inter-Nordic passport control area comprising Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden, temporary visitors holding entry visas are, irrespective of means of transportation, required to complete a special Disembarkation Card, which differs from the ICAO format. (For the vast majority of travellers visas and consequently Disembarkation Cards are not required.)
3.24.2, 3.24.3*	Visas are required from crew members who are nationals of States who otherwise are obliged to obtain visas.
3.36	The following resolution becomes effective with instantaneous effect when the Minister of Justice so decides: He who brings a foreigner into Denmark shall be punished by fines if the foreigner in question at his entry into Denmark has no proof of identity and visa required for his journey.
4.18*	This Recommended Practice is not applicable. Separate documents required as commercial invoice and certificate of origin. ⁽¹⁾
4.42*, 4.44*	Not all items of equipment referred to in these Recommended Practices are admitted duty-free. ⁽¹⁾
5.4	A document specifying in particular the identity of the packages and the aircraft and the nature, gross weight and place of loading of the goods may be required by customs as summary declaration. ⁽¹⁾
5.4.1	Transit visa is required prior to arrival in the country from transit passengers obliged to possess a visa.

(1) These differences ensue from the European Community's Customs legislation.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

- | | |
|------------------|--|
| 2.8, 2.8.1* | The gross mass and nature of goods must be indicated, whether or not the Cargo Manifest is used. ⁽¹⁾ |
| 2.35 | All planned times of arrival and/or departure of all commercial flights at international airports of the Federal Republic of Germany as well as commercial flights planned to overfly the territory of the Federal Republic of Germany without intermediate stop have to be notified to the scheduling co-ordinator normally four to five months in advance. This procedure also applies to non-commercial flights to Frankfurt airport. |
| 3.8 | In principle, German visas are subject to charges. In special circumstances or on account of international arrangements the charge may be reduced or excused. |
| 3.8.2 | The validity of German visas depends upon the duration of the intended stay in the Federal Republic; in individual cases the visas are made valid for a period of more, but also for less than, twelve months. |
| 3.8.5* | German visas do not include any information on the number of entries permitted. |
| 3.10 | Upon entry and departure, stateless persons as well as mainly the nationals of States with which the Federal Republic does not have diplomatic relations have to deliver a so-called "registration card"; this, however, does not refer to transit traffic. An Embarkation/Disembarkation Card is no longer required. |
| 3.23.1*, 3.24.1* | The recognition of crew member licences and crew member certificates in lieu of passports and the exemption of their holders, according to 3.23 and 3.24, from the requirement of a permit to stay is limited to flight crew members of scheduled air services. Flight crew members not engaged in scheduled air services are subject to the general German regulations concerning foreign nationals. |
| 3.24.2, 3.24.3* | Crew member licences and crew member certificates are only recognized as travel documents on scheduled air services. They are accepted neither for entry and departure by means of surface transportation nor for any travel within the territory of the Federal Republic of Germany. |
| 3.36 | On account of considerable difficulties encountered in connexion with the presentation of control documents (visas) by passengers entering the Federal Republic of Germany, the authorities reserve the right to punish operators by a fine if the German regulations are violated. |
| 4.18* | This Recommended Practice is not applicable. The commercial invoice and any certificate of origin are required to be produced separately. ⁽¹⁾ |

(1) These differences ensue from the European Community's Customs regulations.

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF (cont.)	
4.42*, 4.44*	Not all the equipment referred to in these Recommended Practices is admitted free of customs duties. ⁽¹⁾
5.1, 5.2, 5.4.1, 5.8*, 5.9*	As far as passport and visa requirements still exist in relation to individual States, nationals of these States with through-flight tickets and flight crew members on transit flights from foreign States via German airports to other foreign States are exempt from these requirements, if they do not make more than one intermediate stop in the territory of the Federal Republic and do not leave the transit area of the airport, or if, in the course of their transit journey, they are transferred to a neighbouring airport.
5.4	As a summary declaration of the cargo, customs may require a document stating, in particular, the identity of the package and of the aircraft, the nature, gross mass and place of loading of the cargo. ⁽¹⁾
8.15*	The Federal Republic of Germany does not intend to establish any national or airport facilitation committees.

(1) These differences ensue from the European Community's Customs regulations.

LUXEMBOURG	
2.7*	This Recommended Practice is not acceptable for the time being, because the Cargo Manifest is at present the most practical document, both for the customs and for the carrier making the declaration.
2.8.1*	Whether or not the Cargo Manifest is used, European Community legislation requires indication of the gross weight and nature of the goods.
4.18*	This Recommended Practice is not applicable. The invoice and any certificate of origin are required to be produced separately.
4.42*, 4.44*	Not all the equipment referred to in these Recommended Practices is admitted free of customs duties.
5.4	The customs may require, as a summary declaration of the cargo, a document stating, in particular, first the identity of the package and of the aircraft and, second, the nature, gross weight and place of loading of cargo.

NETHERLANDS, KINGDOM OF THE	
2.7*	For the time being not acceptable. At the moment the Cargo Manifest is the basic document for customs and operator on the basis of which the clearance formalities are completed.
2.8.1*	Under the present circumstances not yet acceptable. Completion of the "Nature of goods" column in the Cargo Manifest is essential for customs purposes.
3.8.2	The principle of making visas valid for more than one journey regardless of the number of entries is acceptable only on condition that it can be waived for reasons of public order, national security or the Kingdom of the Netherlands policy with regard to aliens.
3.23, 3.23.1*, 3.24, 3.24.1*, 3.24.2, 3.24.3*	Implementation on the condition of reciprocity.
4.18*	This Recommended Practice cannot be applied. The commercial invoice and the certificate of origin, in case the latter is required, have to be produced separately.
4.40	Accepted with the exception of security equipment.
4.42*, 4.44*	Duty-free admission cannot be granted for all the equipment concerned.
5.4	The customs authorities can, by means of a brief declaration, demand the production of a document in which, on the one hand, the identification of the goods and the aircraft, and on the other hand, the nature, the gross weight and the place of loading of the goods are mentioned.
5.11*	<p>The Kingdom of the Netherlands is in favour of this Recommended Practice, but does not intend to establish "free airports", as the national customs legislation provides for extensive facilities for storage and handling of goods on and in the vicinity of airports.</p> <p>This legislation offers more opportunities than a system of "free airports" would do whilst the supervision by the customs can more easily be carried out.</p>

PORTUGAL	
2.4, 2.13, 2.16, 2.24*	The use of a document called Traffic Form "Formulário de Tráfego" has made it possible to eliminate the following clearance documents: General Declaration, Passenger Manifest and Customs Inbound and Outbound Licence, Authorization to load and unload cargo and Declaration of Entrance at Customs. The Traffic Form, which is not a clearance document (it may be presented by the operator two hours after aircraft arrival or departure), provides information needed for the control of airport taxes, together with traffic statistical data.
2.7*	Presentation of the Cargo Manifest is required.
3.8	Entrance visas are valid for 120 days.
3.9*	Embarkation/Disembarkation Card is required except for EEC citizens.
3.10	The item "Signature" has been introduced in the Embarkation/Disembarkation Card shown in Appendix 3, but the following items have been eliminated: "Place of birth", "Occupation" and "Permanent address".
4.5*	Customs Authorities are already making the necessary arrangements to implement the techniques mentioned in this Recommended Practice.

* Recommended Practice

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	
2.4	General Declaration is required.
2.6	Passenger Manifest is required.
2.8.1*	Nature of goods is required.
2.12	Six copies of the General Declaration and five copies of the Passenger Manifest are required.
2.15	Six copies of the General Declaration, five copies of the Passenger Manifest and two copies of the Cargo Manifest are required.
2.39	A charge is made for non-scheduled traffic permits.
3.8	A charge may be made for visas when issued by foreign overseas agencies on our behalf.
3.8.2	Implemented under reciprocal or other acceptable arrangements.
3.8.4*	Required in certain cases for resident aliens.
3.8.5*	Item (e) single entry only.
3.9*	Embarkation/Disembarkation Card is required.
3.10	More information than that provided on the International Embarkation/Disembarkation Card is required.
3.17	All passenger baggage is subject to inspection.
3.17.1*	The dual-channel baggage clearance system is used.
4.22.1*	There are provisions for the special treatment of these types of imports.
4.45*	Customs duty is payable on some of these items.

* Recommended Practice

